

# Nonlocal basis pursuit: Nonlocal optimal design of conductive domains in the vanishing material limit

José Carlos Bellido  
Anton Evgrafov (Aalborg, Denmark)

Departamento de Matemáticas  
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha  
Ciudad Real, SPAIN

16 de enero de 2021

# Optimal design in conduction

A typical optimal design problem in conductivity is the following:

$$\max_{\kappa \in \mathbb{A}} \min_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \kappa(x) |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x) u(x) dx \right]$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a bounded, open domain,  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and

$$\mathbb{A} = \left\{ \kappa \in L^\infty(\Omega) : \kappa(x) \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}], \int_{\Omega} \kappa(x) dx \leq \gamma |\Omega| \right\}$$

# Optimal design in conduction

A typical optimal design problem in conductivity is the following:

$$\max_{\kappa \in \mathbb{A}} \min_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \kappa(x) |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x) u(x) dx \right]$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a bounded, open domain,  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and

$$\mathbb{A} = \left\{ \kappa \in L^\infty(\Omega) : \kappa(x) \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}], \int_{\Omega} \kappa(x) dx \leq \gamma |\Omega| \right\}$$

This is max-min of *compliance maximization* in optimal design of conducting materials, using the Dirichlet's principle.

## Optimal design in conduction

Alternatively to using Dirichlet's principle we could use that of Kelvin for the fluxes, where the heat flux is given by the variational principle

$$q = \operatorname{argmin}_{p \in \mathbb{Q}(f)} I_{loc}(\kappa; p),$$

with

$$I_{loc}(\kappa; p) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \kappa^{-1}(x) |p(x)|^2 dx,$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q}(f) = \{q \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{div}(q) = f\}.$$

# Optimal design in conduction

Alternatively to using Dirichlet's principle we could use that of Kelvin for the fluxes, where the heat flux is given by the variational principle

$$q = \operatorname{argmin}_{p \in \mathbb{Q}(f)} I_{loc}(\kappa; p),$$

with

$$I_{loc}(\kappa; p) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \kappa^{-1}(x) |p(x)|^2 dx,$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q}(f) = \{q \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{div}(q) = f\}.$$

Then, the optimal design problem is equivalently written as:

$$\min_{(\kappa, q) \in \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{Q}(f)} I_{loc}(\kappa; q).$$

# Optimal design in conduction

Alternatively to using Dirichlet's principle we could use that of Kelvin for the fluxes, where the heat flux is given by the variational principle

$$q = \operatorname{argmin}_{p \in \mathbb{Q}(f)} I_{loc}(\kappa; p),$$

with

$$I_{loc}(\kappa; p) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \kappa^{-1}(x) |p(x)|^2 dx,$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q}(f) = \{q \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{div}(q) = f\}.$$

Then, the optimal design problem is equivalently written as:

$$\min_{(\kappa, q) \in \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{Q}(f)} I_{loc}(\kappa; q).$$

Due to joint-convexity of the functional  $I_{loc}$ , this problem attains its minimum.

# Vanishing material fraction limit

Now, our interest is to study the limit as  $\gamma \searrow 0$ .

# Vanishing material fraction limit

Now, our interest is to study the limit as  $\gamma \searrow 0$ . It is not difficult to obtain this limit formally, as the problem:

$$\inf_q \int_{\Omega} |q(x)| dx,$$

subject to

$$\operatorname{div} q = f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$



# Vanishing material fraction limit

Now, our interest is to study the limit as  $\gamma \searrow 0$ . It is not difficult to obtain this limit formally, as the problem:

$$\inf_q \int_{\Omega} |q(x)| dx,$$

subject to

$$\operatorname{div} q = f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Obviously, due to lack of weak compactness in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , the infimum is not necessarily attained. Therefore, relaxation in the spaces of Radon measures  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is required.

# Vanishing material fraction limit

Now, our interest is to study the limit as  $\gamma \searrow 0$ . It is not difficult to obtain this limit formally, as the problem:

$$\inf_q \int_{\Omega} |q(x)| dx,$$

subject to

$$\operatorname{div} q = f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Obviously, due to lack of weak compactness in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , the infimum is not necessarily attained. Therefore, relaxation in the spaces of Radon measures  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is required.

This problem has a **basis pursuit** structure: we look for the sparsest solution in the  $L^1$  sense of the underdetermined system of PDE's.

# Vanishing material fraction limit

This problem has been studied in the **context of elasticity**:

- In the pioneering paper by Michell (*The limits of economy of material in frame-structures*. Phil. Mag. 1904): Michell trusses.
- By Allaire and Kohn (*Optimal design of minimum weight and compliance in plane stress using extrema microstructures* Eur. J. Mech. A Solids, 1993): which formally obtained the vanishing material limit in elasticity
- Olbermann (*Michell trusses in two dimensions as a  $\Gamma$ -limit of optimal design in linear elasticity*. Cal. Var. 2017): studied the previous formal result in the context of  $\Gamma$ -convergence
- Bouchitté, Gangbo, Seppecher (*Michell trusses and lines of principal action*. M3AS, 2008): study the relaxed problem, obtaining properties of optimal measures
- Evgrafov, Sigmund (*Sparse basis pursuit for compliance minimization in the vanishing volume ratio limit*, ZAMM, 2020): Attempt of numerical approximation of the limit problem

# Nonlocal optimal design

Given a *horizon* if interaction between particles, we define  $\Omega_\delta = \cup_{x \in \Omega} B(x, \delta)$ , where  $\Gamma_\delta = \Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega$  is the nonlocal boundary.

# Nonlocal optimal design

Given a *horizon* if interaction between particles, we define  $\Omega_\delta = \cup_{x \in \Omega} B(x, \delta)$ , where  $\Gamma_\delta = \Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega$  is the nonlocal boundary.

**Nonlocal kernel:** a radial non-negative function  $w_\delta$  with support in  $B(0, \delta)$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 w_\delta^2(x) dx = K_{2,n}$ .

# Nonlocal optimal design

Given a *horizon* if interaction between particles, we define  $\Omega_\delta = \cup_{x \in \Omega} B(x, \delta)$ , where  $\Gamma_\delta = \Omega_\delta \setminus \Omega$  is the nonlocal boundary.

**Nonlocal kernel:** a radial non-negative function  $w_\delta$  with support in  $B(0, \delta)$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 w_\delta^2(x) dx = K_{2,n}$ .

NONLOCAL OPERATORS:

- Nonlocal two-points gradient of  $u$ :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\delta u(x, x') = |u(x) - u(x')| w_\delta(x, x')$$

- Nonlocal divergence of  $\tilde{q}$ :  $\mathcal{D}_\delta$  is the negative adjoint of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\delta$ ,

$$(\mathcal{D}_\delta \tilde{q}, v)_{L^2(\Omega)} = -(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\delta v)_{L^2(\Omega_\delta, \Omega_\delta)}, \quad \forall \tilde{q}, v$$

# Nonlocal optimal design

We are interested in a nonlocal Kelvin's principle for fluxes:

$$\min_{\mathbb{Q}_\delta(f)} I(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{q}),$$

with

$$\mathbb{Q}_\delta(f) = \{ \tilde{q} \in L^2(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta) : \mathcal{D}_\delta \tilde{q} = f \},$$

and

$$I(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \tilde{\kappa}^{-1}(x, x') |\tilde{q}(x, x')|^2 dx dx'.$$

# Nonlocal optimal design

## Nonlocal optimal design for fluxes

The following nonlocal optimal design problem admits solutions:

$$\min_{(\kappa, \tilde{q}) \in \mathbb{A}_\delta \times \mathbb{Q}_\delta(f)} I(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{q}),$$

where given

$$\kappa \in \mathbb{A}_\delta = \left\{ \kappa \in L^\infty(\Omega_\delta) : \kappa(x) \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}], \int_{\Omega_\delta} \kappa(x) dx \leq \gamma |\Omega_\delta| \right\},$$

$\tilde{\kappa}$  is defined as the parametrization (through *harmonic averaging*)

$$\tilde{\kappa} = 2\kappa(x)\kappa(x')[\kappa(x) + \kappa(x')]^{-1}.$$



# Nonlocal optimal design

**THEOREM:** Limit as  $\delta \searrow 0$

Nonlocal optimal design problem

$$\min_{(\kappa, \tilde{q}) \in \mathbb{A}_\delta \times \mathbb{Q}_\delta(f)} I(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{q}),$$

$\Gamma$ -converges as  $\delta \searrow 0$  to the local optimal design problem

$$\min_{(\kappa, q) \in \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{Q}(f)} I_{loc}(\kappa; q).$$

# Vanishing volume fraction limit in the nonlocal case

**Lebesgue spaces with mixed exponents** (Benedek, Panzone, *Duke Math. J.*, 1961):  $L^{p,q}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ , is the space of measurable functions  $\tilde{q} : \Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|\tilde{q}\|_{L^{p,q}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)} = \left\{ \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left[ \int_{\Omega_\delta} |\tilde{q}(x, x')|^q dx' \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

## Vanishing volume fraction limit in the nonlocal case

**Lebesgue spaces with mixed exponents** (Benedek, Panzone, *Duke Math. J.*, 1961):  $L^{p,q}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ , is the space of measurable functions  $\tilde{q} : \Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|\tilde{q}\|_{L^{p,q}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)} = \left\{ \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left[ \int_{\Omega_\delta} |\tilde{q}(x, x')|^q dx' \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

$L^{1,2}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$  is a separable, non-reflexive Banach space, whose dual is  $L^{\infty,2}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$ .

# Vanishing volume fraction limit in the nonlocal case

**Lebesgue spaces with mixed exponents** (Benedek, Panzone, *Duke Math. J.*, 1961):  $L^{p,q}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ , is the space of measurable functions  $\tilde{q} : \Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|\tilde{q}\|_{L^{p,q}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)} = \left\{ \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left[ \int_{\Omega_\delta} |\tilde{q}(x, x')|^q dx' \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

$L^{1,2}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$  is a separable, non-reflexive Banach space, whose dual is  $L^{\infty,2}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$ .

We denote  $L_a^{p,q}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$  the subspace of antisymmetric ( $\tilde{q}(x, x') = -\tilde{q}(x', x)$ ) functions in  $L^{p,q}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$ .

# Vanishing volume fraction limit in the nonlocal case

Formal limit as  $\gamma \searrow 0$

The formal limit as  $\gamma \searrow 0$  of

$$\min_{(\kappa, \tilde{q}) \in \mathbb{A}_\delta \times \mathbb{Q}_\delta(f)} I(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{q}),$$

where

$$\inf_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_\delta^a(f)} \|\tilde{q}\|_{L^{1,2}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)},$$

is

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_\delta^a(f) = \{ \tilde{q} \in L_a^{1,2}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta) : \mathcal{D}_\delta(\tilde{q}) = f \}.$$

# Vanishing volume fraction limit in the nonlocal case

## Theorem

The unit ball  $B_{L^1,2}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$  is sequentially compact with respect to biting convergence in  $L^{1,2}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)$ , i.e, any sequence  $\tilde{q}_k$  admits a subsequence (still denoted the same) such that there exists a non-increasing sequence of sets  $E_m \subset \Omega_\delta$  with measures converging to zero, such that  $\tilde{q}_k$  is weakly convergent in  $L^{1,2}((\Omega_\delta \setminus E_m) \times \Omega_\delta)$  for any  $m \geq 1$ .

## Theorem

In high contrast with local problem, basis pursuit nonlocal problem

$$\inf_{\tilde{Q}_\delta^3(f)} \|\tilde{q}\|_{L^{1,2}(\Omega_\delta \times \Omega_\delta)},$$

admits solution

# Recovering of the local basis pursuit problem

## Partial approximation result

$$\inf_{\operatorname{div}(q)=f} \int_{\Omega} |q(x)| \, dx \leq \liminf_{\delta \searrow 0} \left[ \inf_{\tilde{Q}_{\delta}^2(f)} \|\tilde{q}\|_{L^{1,2}(\Omega_{\delta} \times \Omega_{\delta})} \right]$$