# CHARACTERIZATION OF KADEC-KLEE PROPERTIES IN ORLICZ SPACE

T. Dominguez, H. Hudzick, G. López, B. Sims

May 17, 2005

#### Abstract

We study the connection between the Kadec-Klee property for local convergence in measure  $(H_l)$ , the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure  $(H_g)$  and the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for an Orlicz function space  $L^{\Phi}(\mu)$  equipped with either the Luxemburg norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$  or the Orlicz norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}^{0}$ . Nominally, we prove that the following conditions are equivalent for  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{\Phi})$ :(1)  $\Phi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition. (2)  $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \in H_l.(3)$   $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \in H_g$ . For  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{\Phi}^{0})$  we prove that  $\Phi$ satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$  if  $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \in H_g$ . However, an example of an Orlicz space with the Orlicz norm is shown where  $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \in H_g$ but  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

## 1 Introduction

If  $(E, \|.\|_E)$  is a normed linear space, then E is said to have the Kadec-Klee property  $(E \in H)$  if and only if the sequential weak convergence on the unit sphere coincides with the norm convergence. It is well known that the classical  $L_p$ -spaces 1 have the Kadec-Klee property (see[?],[?]). $Although the space <math>L_1[0, 1]$  fails to have the Kadec-Klee property, Riesz showed that each sequence almost everywhere convergent on the unit sphere of an  $L_p$ -spaces  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , is also norm-convergent.

Let E be a Banach function space over a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Eis said to have the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure  $(E \in H_g)$ , if for all  $\{x_n\}$  and x in the unit ball whenever  $x_n \to x$  globally in measure on  $\Omega$  then  $||x_n - x|| \to 0$ . E is said to have the Kadec-Klee property for local convergence in measure  $(E \in H_l)$ , if for all  $\{x_n\}$  and x in the unit ball whenever  $x_n \to x$  locally in measure on  $\Omega$  then  $||x_n - x|| \to 0$ .

These properties were investigated in [?] and [?] for symmetric spaces defined on any interval  $[0, \alpha), 0 < \alpha \leq \infty$  and on the interval [0, 1), respectively.

In this paper we study the connection between the Kadec-Klee property for local convergence in measure, the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure and the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for an Orlicz function space equipped with either the Luxemburg norm or the Orlicz norm

We start fixing some notation. In the following  $R, R^+$  and N will stand for the sets of real numbers, nonnegative numbers and positive integers, respectively. By  $\Phi : R \to [0, +\infty]$  we shall denote an Orlicz function, i.e.,  $\Phi$  is convex, even, left continuous on the whole  $R^+$ ,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\Phi$  is not identically equal to zero. For any Orlicz function  $\Phi$  we denote

$$a_{\Phi} := \sup\{u \ge 0 : \Phi(u) = 0\}$$
  
 $c_{\Phi} := \sup\{u > 0 : \Phi(u) < +\infty\}.$ 

We shall say that an Orlicz function satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all  $u \in R$  (at infinity) [at zero] if there are positive constans K ([and  $u_0$  with  $0 < \Phi(u_0) < \infty$ ]) such that  $\Phi(2u) \le K\Phi(u)$  holds for all  $u \in R$  (for every  $|u| \ge u_0$ )[for every  $|u| \le u_0$ ]. Obviously  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all  $u \in R$  if and only if satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at zero and at infinity.

For any Orlicz function  $\Phi$  the statement  $\Phi$ -satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ condition means that:

 $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all t if  $\mu$  is nonatomic and infinite.

 $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at infinity if  $\mu$  is nonatomic and finite.

 $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at 0 if  $\mu$  is a counting measure .

In the following,  $L^0(\mu)$  will stand for the space of all (equivalence classes of )  $\Sigma$ -measurable real functions defined on  $\Omega$ . For a given Orlicz function  $\Phi$  we define, on  $L^0(\mu)$ , a convex functional (called a pseudomodular) by

$$I_{\Phi}(x) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x(t)) d\mu.$$

The Orlicz space  $L^{\Phi}(\mu)$  is defined to be the set of all  $x \in L^{0}(\mu)$  such that  $I_{\Phi}(\lambda x) < \infty$  for some  $\lambda > 0$  depending on x. We endow  $L^{\Phi}(\mu)$  with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\|_{\Phi} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : I_{\Phi}(\frac{x}{\lambda}) \le 1\}$$

and with the Orlicz norm

$$||x||_{\Phi}^{0} = \sup\{\int_{\Omega} |x(t)y(t)|d\mu : y \in L^{\Phi^{*}}(\mu), I_{\Phi^{*}}(y) \le 1\},\$$

where the function  $\Phi^*$  is defined by the formula

$$\Phi^* = \sup\{uv - \Phi(v) : v \ge 0\}$$

and called complementary to  $\Phi$  in the sense of Young.

It is well known that if  $\Phi$  is finitely valued and satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x} = 0,$$

then the Orlicz norm satisfies the Amemiya formula (see[?])

$$\|x\|_{\Phi}^{0} = \inf\{\frac{1}{k}(1 + \int_{\Omega} \Phi(kx)d\mu) : k > 0\}.$$

Moreover, there is a number  $k^*$  attaining the infimum, so that

$$\|x\|_{\Phi}^{0} = \frac{1}{k^{*}}(1 + \int_{\Omega} \Phi(k^{*}x)d\mu).$$

In [?], it is proved that Orlicz spaces generated by Orlicz functions satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition have the Kadec-Klee property for local convergence in measure. In this paper, we will study more deeply the relationship between the  $\Delta_2$ -condition and the Kadec-Klee property for local convergence in measure or global convergence in measure for the Orlicz space  $L^{\Phi}(\mu)$  with either the Luxemburg or the Orlicz norm.

In the sequel we will need some results concerning to order continuous Banach lattices. A Banach space E is said to be a Banach lattice if there exists in E a partial order,  $\leq$ , such that if  $x, y \in E$  and  $|x| \leq |y|$  then  $||x|| \leq ||y||$ . E is said to be order continuous if for all sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $E^+$ such that  $x_n \searrow 0$   $\mu$ .a.e. we have  $||x_n|| \to 0$ .

An easy proof of the following lemma, useful in the sequel, can be found in [?].

**Lemma 1.1** Let E be a Banach function lattice over a non-atomic  $\sigma$ -finite measure. If  $x_n \to x$  then there exist  $y \in E^+$ ,  $(x_{n_k}) \subset (x_n)$  and  $\varepsilon_{n_k} \subset R^+$  with  $\varepsilon_{n_k} \downarrow 0$  such that  $|x_{n_k} - x| \leq \varepsilon_{n_k} y$ .

# 2 Luxemburg norm

Our first result is the following

**Theorem 2.1** If E is a Banach function lattice and is not order continuous, then  $E \notin H_l$ .

**Proof:** If *E* is not order continuous, it is well known, see[?] that there exist a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $E^+$  with  $||x_n|| = 1$  and  $\operatorname{supp} x_n \cap \operatorname{supp} x_m = \emptyset$  (which implies  $x_n \to 0$   $\mu$ -a.e.) and a function  $x \in E^+$  such that  $x_n \leq x$  for any  $n \in N$ .

Define

$$y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$$
 and  $y_n = y - x_n$ .

If we could show that  $y_n \to y$  weakly, or equivalently  $x_n \to 0$  weakly, we would deduce  $||y_n|| \to ||y||$  because  $0 \le y_n \le y$ .

However for any nonnegative  $x^* \in E^*$  and for all  $k \in N$  we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} x^*(x_n) = x^*(\sum_{n=1}^{k} x_n) \le x^*(x),$$

whence it follows that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^*(x_n)$  converges and so  $x^*(x_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since every  $x^* \in E^*$  can be written as a difference of two nonnegative functionals, we have shown that  $x_n \to 0$  weakly. Therefore  $||y_n|| \to ||y||$ .

We also have that  $y_n \to y \mu$ -a.e. However

$$||y - y_n|| = ||x_n|| = 1,$$

which means that  $E \notin H_l$ .

**Corollary 2.2** Let  $\Phi$  be and arbitrary Orlicz function. Assume  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then  $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \notin H_l$ .

#### **Proof:**

The proof follows from the fact that the space  $L^{\Phi}(\mu)$  is an order continuous Banach lattice if and only if  $\Phi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition (see [?], [?] and [?]).

If  $\mu$  is a finite measure the Kadec-Klee property for local and global convergence in measure are equivalent. So, we will restrict ourselves to study the case of an infinite measure.

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $\Phi$  be and arbitrary Orlicz function,  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  a nonatomic and infinite measure and  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|.\|_0)$  the Orlicz space endowed with the Luxemburg norm. Assume  $a_{\Phi} > 0$  and  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$ . Then  $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \notin H_g$ .

**Proof:** Consider a sequence of measurable set  $\{A_n\}$  such that

$$\mu(A_n) = 2^{-n}.$$

Set  $A = \cup A_n$ .

Define

$$x = a_{\Phi} \chi_{\Omega \setminus A}$$
 and  $x_n = a_{\Phi} \chi_{\Omega \setminus A} + b_n \chi_{A_n}$ 

where  $1 = \Phi(b_n)\mu(A_n)$ . Such a sequence  $(b_n)$  exists since  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition at  $\infty$ .

We first note that  $x_n - x = b_n \chi_{A_n}$ . Therefore  $x_n \to x$  globally in measure. Now we are going to show that

$$||x||_{\Phi} = ||x_n||_{\Phi} = 1.$$

We have

$$I_{\Phi}(x) \le I_{\Phi}(x_n) = \Phi(a_{\Phi})\mu(\Omega \setminus A) + \Phi(b_n)\mu(A_n) = 1.$$

Since  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$  this implies (see [?])

$$\|x\|_{\Phi} \le \|x_n\|_{\Phi} = 1. \tag{1}$$

On the other hand for all  $\lambda > 1$ ,

$$I_{\Phi}(\lambda x) = \Phi(\lambda a_{\Phi})\mu(\Omega \setminus A) = +\infty.$$

So  $\|\lambda x\|_{\Phi} \ge 1$  which implies  $\|x\|_{\Phi} \ge 1$ . Hence by (1) using we obtain

$$||x||_{\Phi} = ||x_n||_{\Phi} = 1$$

In order to finish the proof we only need to prove that  $||x - x_n||_{\Phi}$  does not converge to 0. But

$$I_{\Phi}(x_n - x) = \Phi(b_n)\mu(A_n) = 1$$

which implies  $||x_n - x||_{\Phi} = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $\Phi$  be and arbitrary Orlicz function,  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  a nonatomic and infinite measure and  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|.\|)_{\Phi})$  the Orlicz space endowed with the Luxemburg norm. Assume  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at 0,  $\Phi$ vanishes only at zero and  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$ . Then  $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \notin$  $H_g$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at 0. Then, there exists a sequence  $(u_n)$  of positive real numbers with  $u_n \to 0$  and such that

$$\Phi((1+\frac{1}{n})u_n) > 2^n \Phi(u_n).$$

Divide  $\Omega$  into two disjoint parts A and B such that  $\mu(A) = +\infty$  and  $\mu(B) > 0$ .

Select a sequence  $(A_n)$  of pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of A such that

$$\Phi(u_n)\mu(A_n) = 2^{-n-1}.$$

Select a sequence  $(B_n)$ ,  $B_n \in \Sigma$ ,  $B_n \subset B$  such that  $\mu(B_n) \to 0$ . Consider  $b_n > 0$  satisfying

$$\Phi(b_n)\mu(B_n) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Define

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{2k-1} \chi_{A_{2k-1}} + b_{2n} \chi_{B_{2n}}$$
 and  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{2k-1} \chi_{A_{2k-1}}.$ 

We first note that

$$x_n - x = b_{2n}\chi_{B_{2n}} \to 0$$

globally in measure.

Given  $\lambda > 1$  there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $(1 + \frac{1}{n}) < \lambda$  for all  $n > n_0$ . Thus

$$I_{\Phi}(\lambda x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi(\lambda u_{2k-1}) \mu(A_{2k-1}) \ge \sum_{2k-1 > n_0} \Phi((1 + \frac{1}{2k-1})u_{2k-1}) \mu(A_{2k-1}) = +\infty.$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$\|x\|_{\Phi} \ge 1 \tag{2}$$

We observe now that

$$I_{\Phi}(x) \le I_{\Phi}(x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi(u_{2k-1})\mu(A_{2k-1}) + \Phi(b_{2n})\mu(B_{2n}) = 1,$$

which with (2) give us

$$\|x_n\|_{\Phi} = \|x\|_{\Phi} = 1$$

In order to finish the proof we only need to prove that  $||x - x_n||_{\Phi}$  does not converge to 0. But

$$I_{\Phi}(x_n - x) = \Phi(b_{2n})\mu(B_{2n}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$||x_n - x||_\Phi > \delta > 0.$$

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $\Phi$  be and arbitrary Orlicz function,  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  a nonatomic and infinite measure and  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|.\|_{\Phi})$  the Orlicz space endowed with the Luxemburg norm. Assume  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$ . Then  $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \notin H_q$ .

#### **Proof:**

If we assume that  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at infinity then for all  $K \in \mathbb{R}^+$  there exists  $u_{n(K)} \ge n$  such that

$$\Phi((1+\frac{1}{n})u_{n(K)}) > K\Phi(u_{n(K)}).$$

Consider  $K = 2^{n+1}$ . There exist  $u_n \ge n$  such that

$$\Phi((1+\frac{1}{n})u_n) > 2^{n+1}\Phi(u_n).$$

Let  $A_n \in \Sigma$  be such that

$$\Phi(u_n)\mu(A_n) = 2^{-n}.$$

Define

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \chi_{A_k}$$
 and  $x_n = \sum_{k \neq n} u_k \chi_{A_k}$ 

We first note that

$$x_n - x = u_n \chi_{A_n} \to 0$$

globally in measure.

We have

$$I_{\Phi}(x_n) \le I_{\Phi}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(u_n)\mu(A_n) = 1.$$

So  $||x_n||_{\Phi} \le ||x||_{\Phi} \le 1$ .

On the other hand, taking any  $\lambda > 1$  it can be proved that

$$I_{\Phi}(\lambda x_n) = +\infty$$

Thus  $||x_n||_{\Phi} = ||x||_{\Phi} = 1$ . To finish the proof it suffices to show that  $(x_n)$  does not converge to x in  $(L^{\phi}(\mu), \|.\|_0)$ . However

$$I_{\Phi}((1+\frac{1}{n})(x_n-x)) = \Phi((1+\frac{1}{n})u_n)\mu(A_n) > 2^n \Phi((1+\frac{1}{n}u_n)\mu(A_n) = 1.$$

Thus  $||x_n - x||_{\Phi} \ge (1 + \frac{1}{n})$ 

All the previous results can be summarize in the following theorem

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $\Phi$  and arbitrary Orlicz function and  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{\Phi})$  the Orlicz space endowed with the Luxemburg norm. The following statements are equivalent

- 1.  $\Phi$  satisfies the suitable  $\Delta_2$ -condition.
- 2.  $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \in H_g$
- 3.  $L^{\Phi}(\mu) \in H_l$

# 3 Orlicz Norm

As usual,  $L^1$  denotes the Lebesgue space of these x in  $L^0$  that

$$||x||_1 = \int_{R^+} |x(t)| dt < \infty$$

and  $L^\infty$  denotes the space of m-essentially bounded functions in  $L^0$  equipped with the norm

$$||x|| = \operatorname{ess\,sup} |x(t)|.$$

Consider the following norms for  $L^1 \cap L^\infty$  and  $L^1 + L^\infty$ 

$$||x||_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} = \sup(||x||_1, ||x||_\infty)$$

$$||x||_{L^1 + L^\infty} = \inf(||u||_1 + ||v||_\infty),$$

respectively, where the supremum and the infimum are taken over all  $u \in L^1$ ,  $v \in L^\infty$  such that u + v = x.

In [?],[?] it is proved that  $L^1 \cap L^{\infty} = L^{\psi}$  and  $L^1 + L^{\infty} = L^{\phi}$ , where  $\psi(u) = |u|$  for  $|u| \leq 1$ ,  $\psi(u) = \infty$  for |u| > 1 and  $\phi(u) = \max(0, |u| - 1)$ . The functions  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  are mutually complemented in the sense of Young.

It is well known that  $||x||_{\psi} = ||x||_{L^1 \cap L^{\infty}}$  for any  $x \in L^{\psi}$  (see[?]). Moreover, the spaces

$$(L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}, \|x\|_{L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}}), (L^{1} + L^{\infty}, \|x\|_{L^{1} + L^{\infty}}) \text{ and } (L^{\psi}, \|.\|_{\psi}), (L^{\phi}, \|.\|_{\phi}^{0})$$

form two couples of dual spaces in the Kothe's sense. Therefore

$$\|.\|_{\phi}^{0} = \|x\|_{L^{1} + L^{\infty}}$$

for all  $x \in L^{\phi}$ . Additionally, in [?] it is proved the Amemiya formula for the norm in  $L^1 + L^{\infty}$ .

For any  $x \in L^0$  the decreasing rearrangement of x is the function  $x^*$  defined by

$$x^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : d_x(t) < \lambda\}$$

where  $d_x(t)$  is the distribution function defined by

$$d_x(t) = \mu(\{w \in \Omega : |x(w)| > t\}\)$$

For our purposes, it is worthwhile to note, see[?], that

$$(x_1 + x_2)^*(t_1 + t_2) \le x_1^*(t_1) + x_2^*(t_2)$$

and for all  $x \in L^1 + L^\infty$  the equality

$$\|x\|_{L^1 + L^\infty} = \int_0^1 x^*(t) dt$$

holds.

From Theorem 2.5 we know that  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{\Phi})$  does not satisfy the Kadec-Klee property for global convergence in measure if  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$ . However, this fact is not true when the Orlicz norm is considered.

**Theorem 3.1** The space  $(L^1+L^{\infty}, ||x||_{L^1+L^{\infty}})$  satisfies the Kadec-Klee propertie for global convergence in measure  $(L^1+L^{\infty} \in H_g)$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $(x_n) \subset L^1 + L^{\infty}$ ,  $x \in L^1 + L^{\infty}$ ,  $x_n \to x$  globally in measure and  $||x_n||_{L^1+L^{\infty}} = ||x||_{L^1+L^{\infty}} = 1$ .

Since  $x_n \to x$  globally in measure we have  $x_n^* \to x^* \mu$ -a.e. Thus

$$x_n^*\chi_{[0,1]} \to x^*\chi_{[0,1]}\mu$$
 – a.e.

Bearing in mind that  $L^1 \in H_l$  and  $||x_n^*\chi_{[0,1]}||_{L^1} = ||x^*\chi_{[0,1]}||_{L^1} = 1$  we deduce

$$\int_0^1 |x_n^*(s) - x^*(s)| ds \to 0.$$

By Lemma 1.1 there exists  $(x_{n_k}^*)$  a subsequence of  $x_n^*$  and  $y \ge 0, y \in L^1[0,1]$  such that  $|x_{n_k}^*(t) - x^*(t)| \le y(t)$  a.e. in [0,1].

On the other hand

$$(x_{n_k} - x)^*(t) \le x_{n_k}^*(\frac{t}{2}) + x^*(\frac{t}{2}) \le 2x^*(\frac{t}{2}) + y(\frac{t}{2})$$

Therefore, by applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\int_0^1 (x_{n_k} - x)^*(t) dt \to 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$||x_{n_k} - x||_{L^1 + L^\infty} \to 0.$$

Thus, since for each subsequence of  $(x_n - x)$  we we can extract a subsequence which converges in norm to 0, we have

$$||x_n - x||_{L^1 + L^\infty} \to 0$$

and the proof is concluded.

Our last result is the following

**Theorem 3.2** If  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$  and  $\mu$  is nonatomic then  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{\Phi}^0) \notin H_q$ .

**Proof:** If  $\mu$  is finite it is obvious, because in this case se we have

$$H_g \Leftrightarrow H_f \Rightarrow O.C. \Rightarrow \Delta_2(\infty).$$

Assume that  $\mu$  is nonatomic and infinite and  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2(\infty)$ . There exists a sequence  $(u_n)$  of nonnegative real numbers such that  $u_n \uparrow \infty$  and

$$\Phi(2u_n) > 2^n \Phi(u_n).$$

Take any nonnegative  $x \in L^{\Phi}$  with  $||x||_{\Phi}^{0} = 1$ . Since  $\Phi$  does not satisfies the  $\Delta_{2}$ -condition at  $\infty$  we have  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = 0$  and so the Amemiya formula is satisfied.

There exists a sequence  $(A_n)$  in  $\Sigma$  with  $\mu(A_n) = \infty$  and such that

$$I_{\Phi}(2kx\chi_{A_n}) \le 2^{-n},$$

where  $k \ge 1$  satisfies

$$\|x\|_{\Phi}^{0} = \frac{1}{k}(1 + I_{\Phi}(kx)).$$

Let  $B_n \subset A_n$  be such that

$$\Phi(u_n)\mu(B_n) = 2^{-n}$$

and define

$$x_n = x + \frac{u_n}{2k}\chi_{B_n} = x\chi_{\Omega\setminus B_n} + (x + \frac{u_n}{2k})\chi_{B_n}.$$

Since  $x_n \ge x \ge 0$ , we have  $||x_n||_{\Phi}^0 \ge ||x||_{\Phi}^0 = 1$ . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} x_n \|_{\Phi}^0 &= \inf_{\rho > 0} \frac{1}{\rho} (1 + I_{\Phi}(\rho x_n)) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} (1 + I_{\Phi}(k x_n)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{k} (1 + I_{\Phi}(k x \chi_{\Omega \setminus B_n}) + I_{\Phi}(k x \chi_{B_n} + \frac{u_n}{2} \chi_{B_n}) \leq \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} (I_{\Phi}(2k x \chi_{B_n}) + I_{\Phi}(u_n \chi_{B_n})) \leq \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n}) \to 1 \end{aligned}$$

So  $||x_n||_{\Phi}^0 \to ||x||_{\Phi}^0$ . Furthemore  $x_n \to x$  globally in measure. We are going to show that  $||x_n - x||_{\Phi}^0 \ge \frac{1}{4}$  and the proof will be concluded. We have

$$I_{\Phi}(4k(x_n - x)) = I_{\Phi}(2u_n\chi_{B_n}) = \Phi(2u_n)\mu(B_n)) > 1.$$

So  $||x_n - x||_{\Phi} \geq \frac{1}{4k}$  and since  $||x_n - x||_{\Phi}^0 \geq ||x_n - x||_{\Phi}$  the proof is concluded.

For the case of the Orlicz norm we summarize our results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $\Phi$  and arbitrary Orlicz function,  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{\Phi}^{0})$  the Orlicz space endowed with the Orlicz norm and  $\mu$  nonatomic. Then

- 1.  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2(\infty) \Rightarrow L^{\Phi} \notin H_a$ .
- 2.  $\Phi$  needs not to vanish only at zero to have property  $H_g~(L^1+L^\infty$  as an example).

We finish with an open question: Assume that  $\Phi$  vanishes outside 0 and  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2(\infty)$ . Is it true that  $(L^{\Phi}(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{\Phi}^0) \notin H_g$ .

## References

- V.I. Chillin, P.G.Dodsds, A.A. Sedaev and F.A.Sukochev, Characterization of Kadec-Klee properties in symmetric spaces of measurable functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 348, (1996), 4895-4918.
- H. Hudzik, On some equivalent conditions in Musielaks- Orlicz spaces, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. 24, (1984), 57-64.
- [3] H. Hudzik, Intersections and algebraic sums of Musielaks- Orlicz spaces, Portugaliae Math. 40Vol. 3, (1993), 287-296.
- [4] H. Hudzik, On smallest and largest Orlicz spaces, Math. Nachr. 141, (1989), 109-115.
- [5] H. Hudzik , On the distance from the subspace of order continuous elements in  $L^1 + L^{\infty}$ , Functiones et Approximatio XXV, (1997), 157-163.
- [6] H. Hudzik and M. Mastylo, strongly extreme points in kothe-Bochner spaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 3Vol. 23, (1993), 899-909.
- [7] A. Kaminska, Flat Orlicz- Musielak sequence spaces, Bull. Acad. Polo. Sci. Math. 30Vol. 30, (1982), 347-352.
- [8] L.V. Kantarovic and G.P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition, Moscow (1978), in Russian.
- [9] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces II, Springe-Verlag, Berlin (1979).
- [10] A. Medzhitov and P. Sukochev, The property (H) in Orlicz spaces, Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. Math. 40 (1992), 5-11.
- [11] M.M. Rao and Z.D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker. New-York, 1991
- [12] F. Riesz, Sur la convergence en moyenne I, Acta Sci. Math. 4 1928/29, 58-64.
- [13] F. Riesz, Sur la convergence en moyenne II, Acta Sci. Math. 4 1928/29, 182-185.
- [14] B. Turett, Rotundity of Orlicz spaces, Indag. Math. 38, (1976), 462-469.