

ASYMPTOTICALLY REGULAR MAPPINGS IN MODULAR FUNCTION SPACES

T. DOMINGUEZ-BENAVIDES, M.A. KHAMSI AND S. SAMADI

1. ABSTRACT

Let ρ be a modular function satisfying a Δ_2 -type condition and L_ρ the corresponding modular space. The main result in this paper states that if C is a ρ -bounded and ρ -a.e sequentially compact subset of L_ρ and $T : C \rightarrow C$ is an asymptotically regular mapping such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |T^n| < 2$, where $|S|$ denotes the Lipschitz constant of S , then T has a fixed point. We show that the estimate $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |T^n| < 2$ cannot be, in general, improved.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 46E30; Secondary 47H09, 47H10.

Key Words: asymptotically regular mappings, fixed point, modular functions, Opial property.

The first author is partially supported by PB-96-1338-C01-C02 and PAI-FMQ-0127.

2. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be asymptotically regular if $\lim_n d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) = 0$ for each $x \in X$. This notion was defined by Browder and Petryshyn [2]. The existence of fixed points for asymptotically regular mappings has been widely studied [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17]. When X is a convex, closed, subset of a Banach space it is known [12] that the problem of the existence of fixed point for a nonexpansive mapping is equivalent to the same problem for a nonexpansive asymptotically regular mapping. On the other hand, the theory of modular spaces was initiated by Nakano [21] in 1950 in connection with the theory of order spaces and redefined and generalized by Musielak and Orlicz [20] in 1959. Besides the idea of defining a norm and considering particular Banach spaces of functions, another direction is based on considering an abstractly given functional defined on a linear space of functions which controls the growth of members of the space. Even though a metric is not defined, many problems in metric fixed point theory can be reformulated in modular spaces (see, for instance [13] and references therein). In this paper, we study the existence of fixed points for asymptotically regular mapping defined from a ρ -bounded and ρ -a.e sequentially compact set C of a modular space L_ρ into C . We actually prove that T has a fixed point if $\liminf_n |T^n| < 2$, $|T|$ being the exact Lipschitz constant of T . It is worthed to notice the simplicity of this statement in comparison with similar results in Banach spaces, where a different upper bound for $\liminf_n |T^n|$ must be considered for each space. Even in Hilbert spaces (see [1, chapter IX]) a best estimate is unknown. We also give an example showing that this result can not be, in general, improved.

3. PRELIMINARIES

We start by recalling some basic concepts and facts of modular spaces as formulated by Kozłowski. For more details the reader is referred to [13], [14], [15] and [19].

Let Ω be a nonempty set and Σ be a nontrivial σ -algebra of subsets of Ω . Let \mathcal{P} be a δ -ring of subsets of Σ , such that $E \cap A \in \mathcal{P}$ for any $E \in \mathcal{P}$ and $A \in \Sigma$. Let us assume that there exists an increasing sequence of sets $K_n \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$\Omega = \bigcup K_n$. In other words, the family \mathcal{P} plays the role of the δ -ring of subsets of finite measure. By \mathcal{E} we denote the linear space of all simple functions with supports from \mathcal{P} . By \mathcal{M} we will denote the space of all measurable functions, i.e. all functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ such that there exists a sequence $\{g_n\} \in \mathcal{E}$, $|g_n| \leq |f|$ and $g_n(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. By 1_A we denote the characteristic function of the set A .

Definition 3.1. A functional $\rho : \mathcal{E} \times \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a function modular if

- (P₁) $\rho(0, E) = 0$ for any $E \in \Sigma$,
- (P₂) $\rho(f, E) \leq \rho(g, E)$ whenever $|f(\omega)| \leq |g(\omega)|$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$, $f, g \in \mathcal{E}$ and $E \in \Sigma$,
- (P₃) $\rho(f, \cdot) : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a σ -subadditive measure for every $f \in \mathcal{E}$,
- (P₄) $\rho(\alpha, A) \rightarrow 0$ as α decreases to 0 for every $A \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\rho(\alpha, A) = \rho(\alpha 1_A, A)$,
- (P₅) if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\rho(\alpha, A) = 0$, then $\rho(\beta, A) = 0$ for every $\beta > 0$,
- (P₆) for any $\alpha > 0$ $\rho(\alpha, \cdot)$ is order continuous on \mathcal{P} , that is $\rho(\alpha, A_n) \rightarrow 0$ if $\{A_n\} \in \mathcal{P}$ and decreases to \emptyset .

The definition of ρ is then extended to $f \in \mathcal{M}$ by

$$\rho(f, E) = \sup\{\rho(g, E); g \in \mathcal{E}, |g(\omega)| \leq |f(\omega)| \ \omega \in \Omega\}.$$

This will enable us to define $\rho(\alpha, E)$ for sets E not in \mathcal{P} ; for the sake of simplicity, we write $\rho(f)$ instead of $\rho(f, \Omega)$.

Definition 3.2. A set E is said to be ρ -null if and only if $\rho(\alpha, E) = 0$ for $\alpha > 0$. A property $p(\omega)$ is said to hold ρ -almost everywhere (ρ -a.e.) if the set $\{\omega \in \Omega; p(\omega) \text{ does not hold}\}$ is ρ -null. For example we will say frequently $f_n \rightarrow f$ ρ -a.e.

Note that a countable union of ρ -null sets is still ρ -null. In the sequel we will identify sets A and B whose symmetric difference $A\Delta B$ is ρ -null; similarly we will identify measurable functions which differ only on a ρ -null set.

It is easy to see that the functional $\rho : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a modular because it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\rho(f) = 0$ iff $f = 0$ ρ -a.e.
- (ii) $\rho(\alpha f) = \rho(f)$ for every scalar α with $|\alpha| = 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$.
- (iii) $\rho(\alpha f + \beta g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g)$ if $\alpha + \beta = 1$, $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$.

In addition, if the following property is satisfied

- (iii)' $\rho(\alpha f + \beta g) \leq \alpha\rho(f) + \beta\rho(g)$ if $\alpha + \beta = 1$; $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$,

we say that ρ is a convex modular. The modular ρ defines a corresponding modular space, i.e the vector space L_ρ given by

$$L_\rho = \{f \in \mathcal{M}; \rho(\lambda f) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}.$$

The modular space L_ρ can be equipped with an F -norm defined by

$$\|f\|_\rho = \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0; \rho\left(\frac{f}{\alpha}\right) \leq \alpha \right\}.$$

When ρ is convex the formula

$$\|f\|_\rho = \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0; \rho\left(\frac{f}{\alpha}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

Definition 3.3.

- (a) The sequence $\{f_n\} \subset L_\rho$ is said to be ρ -convergent to $f \in L_\rho$ if $\rho(f_n - f) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (a') The sequence $\{f_n\} \subset L_\rho$ is said to be ρ -a.e convergent to $f \in L_\rho$ if the set $\{\omega \in \Omega; f_n(\omega) \not\rightarrow f(\omega)\}$ is ρ -null.
- (b) The sequence $\{f_n\} \subset L_\rho$ is said to be ρ -Cauchy if $\rho(f_n - f_m) \rightarrow 0$ as n and m go to ∞ ,
- (b') The sequence $\{f_n\} \subset L_\rho$ is said to be ρ -a.e Cauchy if $\{\omega \in \Omega; \{f_n(\omega)\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence $\}$ is ρ -null.
- (c) A subset C of L_ρ is called ρ -closed if the ρ -limit of a ρ -convergent sequence of C always belongs to C .
- (c') A subset C of L_ρ is called ρ -a.e sequentially closed if the ρ -a.e limit of a ρ -a.e convergent sequence of C always belongs to C .

- (d) A subset C of L_ρ is called ρ -sequentially compact if every sequence in C has a ρ -convergent subsequence in C .
- (d') A subset C of L_ρ is called ρ -a.e sequentially compact if every sequence in C has a ρ -a.e convergent subsequence in C .
- (e) A subset C of L_ρ is called ρ -bounded if

$$\delta_\rho(C) = \sup\{\rho(f - g); f, g \in C\} < \infty.$$

Definition 3.4. Let ρ be a function modular, we define a growth function $\omega_\rho : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$\omega_\rho(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\rho(tf)}{\rho(f)}; f \in L_\rho, 0 < \rho(f) < \infty \right\}, t \geq 0.$$

The following technical result [13] is fundamental for this work.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\{f_n\}_n$ be a sequence in E_ρ such that $f_n \xrightarrow{\rho\text{-a.e}} f \in E_\rho$ and there exists $k > 1$ such that $\sup_n \rho(k(f_n - f)) < \infty$. Then, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n - g) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n - f) + \rho(f - g) \quad \text{for all } g \in E_\rho$$

and, therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n - f) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n - g) \quad \text{for all } g \in E_\rho.$$

From this lemma a uniform Opial property-type for E_ρ can be derived. Recall [22] that a Banach space is said to satisfy the uniform Opial property with respect to an arbitrary topology τ if for every $c > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n + x\| \geq 1 + r$, if $\{x_n\}_n$ is a τ -null sequence with $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \geq 1$ and $\|x\| \geq c$. From lemma 3.1 it is clear that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n + f) \geq 1 + c$ if $\rho(f) \geq c$ and $\{f_n\}_n$ is a ρ -a.e null sequence which satisfies $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n) \geq 1$ and there exists $K > 1$ such that $\sup_n \rho(K(f_n - f)) < \infty$.

4. SOME TECHNICAL RESULTS

Definition 4.1. Let ρ be a function modular. We say that ρ satisfies the Δ_2 -type condition if there exists $K > 0$ such that $\rho(2f) \leq K\rho(f)$ for all $f \in L_\rho$.

As examples of convex function modular with Δ_2 -type condition we mention, the usual l^p spaces and function modular of Orlicz spaces, where the measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) is σ -finite, the measure μ is atomless and infinite, and the Orlicz function ψ is convex satisfying Δ_2 -type condition, i.e.

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(2u)}{\psi(u)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(2u)}{\psi(u)} < \infty.$$

Note that the Δ_2 -type condition implies the Δ_2 -condition. For this condition we refer to [15] and [19]. In the sequel, we will assume that ρ is convex and satisfies the Δ_2 -condition. In this case we have $L_\rho = E_\rho$.

The following lemma can be easily proved.

Lemma 4.1. The growth function ω_ρ has the following properties:

- (1) $\omega_\rho(t) < \infty, \forall t \in [0, \infty)$
- (2) $\omega_\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a convex, strictly increasing function. So, it is continuous.
- (3) $\omega_\rho(\alpha\beta) \leq \omega_\rho(\alpha)\omega_\rho(\beta); \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, \infty)$
- (4) $\omega_\rho^{-1}(\alpha)\omega_\rho^{-1}(\beta) \leq \omega_\rho^{-1}(\alpha\beta); \forall \alpha, \beta \in [0, \infty)$, where ω_ρ^{-1} is the inverse function of ω_ρ .

The following is a technical lemma which will be needed because of lack of the triangular inequality.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ be two sequences in L_ρ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(g_n) = 0 \implies \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n + g_n) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n).$$

Proof. By property (iii) of the modular ρ , we have

$$\rho(f_n + g_n) \leq \rho\left(\frac{f_n}{1-\varepsilon}\right) + \rho\left(\frac{g_n}{\varepsilon}\right), \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$$

Thus,

$$\rho(f_n + g_n) \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right) \rho(f_n) + \omega\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \rho(g_n)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n + g_n) \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n)$$

Since ε is arbitrary and

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$$

we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n + g_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n).$$

Furthermore, the same argument proves

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n + g_n - g_n) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n + g_n). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma shows that the growth function can be used to give an upper bound for the norm of a function.

Lemma 4.3. Let L_ρ be a function modular space satisfying the Δ_2 -type condition. Then

$$\|f\|_\rho \leq \frac{1}{\omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\rho(f)}\right)}$$

Proof. Assume, $\alpha < \|f\|_\rho$. We have $1 < \rho(f/\alpha)$ which implies

$$\frac{1}{\rho(f)} < \omega\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$$

and so

$$\omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\rho(f)}\right) < \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

Letting $\alpha \rightarrow \|f\|_{\rho}^{-}$, we obtain $\|f\|_{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{\omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\rho(f)}\right)}$.

5. MAIN RESULT

In this section we present the main result of this work. Indeed, we will prove a fixed point theorem for asymptotically regular mappings. Certainly, one can also consider mappings which are asymptotically regular with respect to the F-norm induced by the modular function. We should like to mention that, generally speaking, there is no natural relation between these two kinds of asymptotically regularness. Indeed all results expressed in terms of modulars are more convenient in the sense that their assumptions are much easier to verify.

Let C a subset of L_{ρ} and $T : C \rightarrow C$, we denote by $|T|$ the exact Lipschitz constant of T , i.e.

$$|T| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\rho(Tf - Tg)}{\rho(f - g)} : f \neq g, f, g \in C \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad s(T) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |T^n|.$$

Theorem 5.1. Let ρ be a convex modular function satisfying the Δ_2 -type condition, C a ρ -bounded, ρ -a.e sequentially compact subset of L_{ρ} . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically regular mapping such that $s(T) < 2$. Then, T has a fixed point.

Proof. Choose a sequence $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers such that $s(T) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |T^{n_k}| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |T^n|$ and define a function r on C by

$$r(f) = \inf \{ r > 0 : \exists g \in C \text{ such that } \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f - T^{n_k}g) \leq r \}.$$

Since $s(T) < 2$, there exists $b \in (1, 2)$ such that $s(T) < b < 2$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $\omega_{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) < \frac{1}{b-1}$ and choose $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $\omega_{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) < \frac{\gamma}{b-1}$. Since $\gamma + (1-b)\omega_{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) > 0$, we can choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$\delta < \gamma + (1 - b)\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$. Now, we choose a number $\mu \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\mu < \min \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)}, \frac{\gamma - \delta + \omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)(1 - b)}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)b} \right\}$$

Finally, denote

$$\alpha = \max \left\{ 1 + \mu - \frac{\delta}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)}, b(1 + \mu) - \frac{\gamma - \delta}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)} \right\}.$$

Then $0 < \alpha < 1$. Since $\gamma < 1$, we can find a positive integer k_0 such that $|T^{n_{k_0}}| < b$ and

$$\rho(f - T^{n_{k_0}} f) > \gamma r(f).$$

Since $\mu > 0$, we can also find $g \in C$ such that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f - T^{n_k} g) \leq r(f)(1 + \mu).$$

Consider a subsequence $\{n_{k'}\}$ of $\{n_k\}$ such that $\{T^{n_{k'}} g\}$ is ρ -a.e convergent in C , say to h , and

$$\lim_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f - T^{n_{k'}} g) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f - T^{n_k} g).$$

Therefore, using Lemma 4.2 and the asymptotic regularity of T we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_{k_0}} f - T^{n_{k'}} g) &\leq |T^{n_{k_0}}| \limsup_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f - T^{n_{k'} - n_{k_0}} g) \\ &= |T^{n_{k_0}}| \limsup_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f - T^{n_{k'}} g + T^{n_{k'}} g - T^{n_{k'} - n_{k_0}} g) \\ &= |T^{n_{k_0}}| \limsup_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f - T^{n_{k'}} g). \end{aligned}$$

We split the proof into two cases:

Case 1. Assume that

$$\rho(f - h) \geq \frac{\delta}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)} r(f)$$

Using lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_{k'}} g - h) &= \liminf_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_{k'}} g - f) - \rho(f - h) \\
&\leq (1 + \mu)r(f) - \frac{\delta}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)}r(f) \\
&= \left[(1 + \mu) - \frac{\delta}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)} \right] r(f) \\
&\leq \alpha r(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. Assume that

$$\rho(f - h) < \frac{\delta}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)}r(f)$$

Applying again Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\liminf_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_{k'}} g - h) = \liminf_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_{k'}} g - T^{n_{k_0}} f) - \rho(T^{n_{k_0}} f - h).$$

The property (iii) of the modular and the definition of the growth function ω_ρ give

$$\rho(T^{n_{k_0}} f - f) \leq \omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \rho(T^{n_{k_0}} f - h) + \omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right) \rho(h - f)$$

then

$$\rho(T^{n_{k_0}} f - h) \geq \frac{1}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)} \rho(T^{n_{k_0}} f - f) - \frac{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)} \rho(h - f)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_{k'}} g - h) &\leq (1 + \mu)br(f) - \frac{1}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)} \rho(T^{n_{k_0}} f - f) + \frac{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)} \rho(h - f) \\
&\leq (1 + \mu)br(f) - \frac{\gamma}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)} r(f) + \frac{\delta}{\omega_\rho\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)} r(f) \\
&\leq \alpha r(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in both cases we obtain $r(h) \leq \alpha r(f)$.

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(h - f) &= \rho\left(2\left(\frac{h - f}{2}\right)\right) \\
 &\leq \omega(2)\rho\left(\frac{h - f}{2}\right) \\
 &\leq \omega(2)\left(\liminf_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(h - T^{n_{k'}}g) + \liminf_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_{k'}}g - f)\right) \\
 &\leq \omega(2)(\alpha r(f) + (1 + \mu)r(f)) \\
 &= Ar(f).
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we construct a sequence in the following way: we choose $h_0 = f$. If h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1} are defined, we consider h_n as the corresponding element for h_{n-1} in the above construction. Thus,

$$r(h_n) \leq \alpha r(h_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n r(h_0)$$

and

$$\rho(h_{n+1} - h_n) \leq Ar(h_n)$$

Hence, there exists an integer N and some $\beta < 1$ such that for $n > N$ we have

$$\rho(h_{n+1} - h_n) \leq K\alpha^n \leq \beta^n,$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{\beta^n} \leq \frac{1}{\rho(h_{n+1} - h_n)}$$

and

$$\omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta^n}\right) \leq \omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\rho(h_{n+1} - h_n)}\right).$$

Property(4) in lemma 4.1 implies

$$\left(\omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\right)^n \leq \omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\rho(h_{n+1} - h_n)}\right),$$

and from Lemma 4.3 we obtain

$$\|h_{n+1} - h_n\|_\rho \leq \frac{1}{\omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\rho(h_{n+1} - h_n)}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\left(\omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\right)^n}.$$

Hence $\{h_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(L_\rho, \|\cdot\|_\rho)$ and there exists $h \in L_\rho$ such that $\|h_n - h\|_\rho \rightarrow 0$, because $(L_\rho, \|\cdot\|_\rho)$ is complete. Since under Δ_2 -type condition norm-convergence and modular-convergence are identical, $\{h_n\}$ is modular convergent to h . So, there exists a subsequence $\{g_n\}_n$ of $\{h_n\}_n$ such that $g_n \rightarrow h$ (ρ -a.e) and $h \in C$ because C is ρ -a.e sequentially closed. We will prove that $r(h) = 0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We choose n large enough such that $r(h_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\rho(h_n - h) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

There exists $g \in C$ such that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_k}g - h_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Hence

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(\frac{T^{n_k}g - h}{2}\right) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_k}g - h_n) + \rho(h_n - h) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_k}g - h) \leq \omega(2)\varepsilon$$

which implies

$$r(h) \leq \omega(2)\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we obtain

$$r(h) = 0.$$

Finally, we claim that

$$T(h) = h.$$

Indeed, choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $g \in C$ such that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(h - T^{n_k}g) < \varepsilon$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{1}{3}(h - T(h))\right) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(h - T^{n_k}g) + \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_k}g - T^{n_k+1}g) \\ &\quad + |T| \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^{n_k}g - h) \\ &\leq (|T| + 1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Again, the arbitrariness of ε implies $T(h) = h$.

Remark 5.1. In the following example we will prove that 2 is, in general, the best constant in Theorem 5.1.

Example 5.1. Assume that $L_\rho = l^1$ where $\rho(x) = \|x\|$ for $x \in l^1$.

Then ρ -a.e convergence and weak star convergence are identical on bounded subsets of l^1 .

Consider the set $B = \{x \in l^1; x_1 = 0, x_i \geq 0 \text{ if } i \geq 2 \text{ and } \|x\| \leq 1\}$

and

$$S^+ = \{x \in B : \|x\| = 1\}.$$

Since

$$B = B(0, 1) \cap H_1 \cap \left(\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} H_n \right)$$

where $H_1 = \{x \in l^1 : x_1 = 0\}$ and $H_n = \{x \in l^1 : x_n \geq 0\}$ for $n \geq 2$, we know that B is weakly star compact, i.e. ρ -a.e sequentially compact.

Define $S : B \rightarrow S^+$ by $S(x) = (1 - \|x\|)e_1 + x$. Then, S is well defined and S is 2-Lipschitzian. Denote R the right-shift operator in l_1 , $R(x_1, x_2, \dots) =$

$(0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$. Then $\frac{I + R}{2}$ is defined from S^+ to S^+ . Furthermore, $T = \left(\frac{I + R}{2} \right) S$

defined from B into $S^+ \subset B$ is 2-Lipschitzian.

Since S is the identity on S^+ , we have $T^n = \left(\frac{I + R}{2} \right)^n S$ which is 2-Lipschitzian.

Hence T is 2-Uniformly Lipschitzian. By Isikhawa Theorem [12], $\frac{I + R}{2}$ is asymptotically regular and so T is .

Finally, T is fixed point free. Indeed, $T(x) = x$ implies $\|x\| = 1$ and so $S(x) = x$.

This implies $\frac{I + R}{2}x = x$, that is, $R(x) = x$, but $x = 0$ is the unique fixed point of R in l_1 .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first and third authors are very grateful to the Department of Mathematical Sciences at the University of Texas at El Paso for their hospitality while completing this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.M.Ayerbe, T.Dominguez Benavides, G.Lopez Acedo. *Measures of Noncompactness in Metric Fixed Point Theory*. Birkhauser: Basel 1997.

- [2] F.E.Browder, W.V.Petryshyn. *The solutions by iteration of nonlinear functional equations in Banach spaces*. Bull. Amer. Soc.,(1966),**72**,571-576.
- [3] M.Budzynska, T.Kuczumow, S.Reich. *Uniform asymptotic normal structure, the uniform semi-Opial property, and fixed points of asymptotically regular uniformly Lipschitzian semi-groups*, Part I (preprint).
- [4] M.Budzynska, T.Kuczumow, S.Reich. *Uniform asymptotic normal structure, the uniform semi-Opial property, and fixed points of asymptotically regular uniformly Lipschitzian semi-groups*, Part II (Preprint)
- [5] T.Dominguez Benavides. *Fixed point theorems for uniformly Lipschitzian mappings and asymptotically regular mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., 1998, **32** (1), 15-27.
- [6] T.Dominguez Benavides, M.A.Japon Pineda. *Opial modulus, moduli of non-compact convexity and fixed points for asymptotically regular mappings*. Nonlinear Anal., (to appear)
- [7] T.Dominguez Benavides, M.A.Japon Pineda. *Fixed point theorems for asymptotically regular mappings in Orlicz function spaces*. (preprint).
- [8] T.Dominguez Benavides; H.K.Xu. *A new geometrical coefficient for Banach spaces and its applications in fixed point theory*, Nonlinear Anal., 1995, **25**(3), 311-325.
- [9] J.Gornicki. *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically regular mappings*. Colloq. Math., (1930), **LXIV**, 55-57.
- [10] J.Gornicki. *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically regular mappings in L^p -spaces*. Nonlinear Anal. (1991), **17**, 153-159.
- [11] J.Gornicki. *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically regular semigroups in Banach spaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (1997), **46**, 89-118.
- [12] S.Ishikawa. *Fixed points and iteration of a nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (1970),**59**, 65-71.
- [13] M.A.Khamsi. *Fixed point theory in modular function spaces*. Recent Advances on Metric Fixed Point Theory. 31-58. Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla 1996.
- [14] M.A.Khamsi, W.M.Kozłowski, S.Reich. *Fixed point theory in modular function spaces*, Nonlinear Anal.,(1990),**14**,935-953.
- [15] W.M.Kozłowski. *Modular function spaces*, Dekker: New York, Basel 1988.
- [16] T. Kuczumow. *Opial's modulus and fixed points of semigroups of mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (to appear).
- [17] T. Kuczumow, S. Reich. *An application of Opial's modulus to the fixed point theory of semigroup of Lipschitzian mappings*, (preprint).
- [18] P.K. Lin, K.K. Tan, H.K. Xu. *Demiclosedness principle and asymptotic behavior for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., (1995),**24**, 929-946.

- [19] J.Musielak. *Orlicz and Modular Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1034, Springer, Berlin (1983).
- [20] J.Musielak, W.Orlicz. *On modular spaces*. Studia Math. (1959),**18**, 591-597.
- [21] H. Nakano. *Modulared semi-ordered spaces*, Tokyo 1950.
- [22] S.Prus. *Banach spaces with the uniform opial property*, Nonlinear Anal., (1992),**18**, 697-704.

TOMAS DOMINGUEZ-BENAVIDES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, UNIVERSITY OF SEVILLE, P.O.BOX 1160. 41080. SEVILLE (SPAIN).

E-mail address: tomas@math.utep.edu

MOHAMED AMINE KHAMSI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO, EL PASO, TX 79968, (U.S.A).

E-mail address: mohamed@math.utep.edu

SEDKI SAMADI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, UNIVERSITY OF SEVILLE, P.O.BOX 1160. 41080. SEVILLE (SPAIN).

E-mail address: sedki@math.utep.edu